

# Fiscal Year 2017-18 Submission Guidelines for Agency Budget Plans

July 25, 2016

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The budget instructions and templates for FY 2017-18 closely resemble those used last year, but please review them closely because there are a number of important changes. Many of these revisions are intended to improve the transparency of the state's budget process, prioritize agency capital requests, and improve statewide information technology planning. Others are designed to acquire more detail related to each decision package to help the public understand the necessity and authority for each program, and help the Governor and General Assembly prioritize the expenditure of state resources. Accordingly, the following conventions should be observed when completing budget request submissions:

- Allocations of statewide employee benefits should be documented through separate decision packages.
- Funding requests related to information technology should coincide with the priorities established in the Agency's information technology and security plans submitted to the Department of Administration pursuant to proviso 117.114, due October 1, 2016.
- Capital Funding requests should coincide with agency Comprehensive Permanent Improvement Plans submitted to the Executive Budget Office (EBO). Agencies that did not submit a CPIP to the EBO should note this on each Form C.
- Agencies requesting significant funding annualizations resulting from the exhaustion of cash fund balances, expiration of federal or private grants, or the use of non-recurring appropriations in FY 2016-17 to fund recurring expenditures, or any other reason should present the annualizations as one or a series of independent decision packages.

#### **M**ETHOD OF **S**UBMISSION

**DEADLINE** 

**COMPONENTS** 

Printed and electronic copies of agencies' budget plans must be filed by **Friday, September 30, 2016**. Any decision packages in the PBF system must be advanced to Stage 209 by this date. Once submitted and finalized, PBF will close and any revisions will be made by the EBO.

Each agency must submit printed and electronic versions of the following:

• Form A: Summary (MS Word)

Agencies must also submit electronic versions of any of the following that apply:

- Form B: Program Revision Request (MS Word)
- Form C: Capital or Non-Recurring Request (MS Word)
- Form D: Proviso Revision Request (MS Word)

Agencies will also submit any decision packages through the PBF system. Form B (recurring) or Form C (one-time) must be completed for each decision package, except for "push down" decision packages as described in the "General Guidance and Key Assumptions" section of these instructions.

PRINTED
SUBMISSIONS

A printed and signed copy of Form A should be delivered to the following address:

Attn: Lauren Henry
Executive Budget Office
1205 Pendleton Street, Suite 529
Columbia, SC 29201

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSIONS

Agencies must also electronically file their budget plans by emailing the required documents to <a href="mailto:Lauren.Benjamin@admin.sc.gov">Lauren.Benjamin@admin.sc.gov</a> These documents should be in the same format as the original templates, except for Form A, which may be submitted in PDF format to reflect the department head's signature. <a href="mailto:Otherwise,">Otherwise,</a> do NOT submit documents in PDF format.

**DISTRIBUTION** 

The Executive Budget Office will publish the submitted plans online for the use of the Governor's Office, the House Ways and Means Committee, the Senate Finance Committee, and members of the public.

**REVISIONS** 

Agencies may submit revisions to their plans after September 30 until a date to be determined by the Executive Budget Office. The most recent version of an agency's plan will be published online alongside the agency's initial submission.

**QUESTIONS** 

Please direct questions to your EBO budget analyst.

#### **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

#### **OVERVIEW**

The FY 2017-18 Executive Budget will incorporate additional elements that will further develop the alignment between South Carolina's annual budget presentation and both of the following:

- 1. Budget guidelines established by the National Advisory Council on State and Local Budgeting
- 2. Best practices identified by the Government Finance Officers Association

The Governor's Office will draw some content from the Annual Accountability Reports that agencies will submit no later than September 15, 2016, pursuant to Proviso 117.29. Agencies should be aware that some material from those reports — especially mission statements, goals, objectives, and performance measures — is likely to appear in the January 2017 budget presentation. The Governor's Office assumes that agencies have thoroughly reviewed their accountability reports prior to submission, and as a result, will not necessarily ask agencies to revalidate that information.

#### **FORMS**

An agency's budget plan will be comprised of some or all of the following:

#### 1. Form A – Summary

• This form provides a snapshot of the agency's request, confirms the identities of the appropriate agency contacts, and must be signed by the department head and board or commission chair if applicable. All agencies must submit this form.

#### 2. Form B – Program Revision Request

- An iteration of this form must be completed for each decision package (for recurring funds) submitted in the PBF system.
- This form must also be used to adjust an agency's base budget, whether to allocate funding for statewide employee benefits, propose realignments, or to effect broader restructuring changes that have already been approved through the Executive Budget Office.
- Each decision package requesting funding for IT assets or security should include a description of the relationship between the decision package and the agency's annual information technology and security plans prepared in accordance with proviso 117.114. Agencies should note any consultation with the Department of Administration in the development of each IT request.

#### 3. Form C – Capital or Non-Recurring Request

- An iteration of this form must be completed for each decision package (for a one-time appropriation) submitted in the PBF system.
- Agencies should differentiate between capital requests and requests for other nonrecurring funding. Requests for capital funds should include a description of the relationship between the requested funds and the agency's overall capital strategy and most recent CPIP submission.

#### 4. Form D – Proviso Revision Request

• An iteration of this form must be completed for each proviso that an agency wishes to add, amend, codify, or delete. This includes technical changes, such as to a fiscal year reference.

#### 5. Any decision packages submitted through the PBF system

#### **TIMELINE**

#### **Initial Agency Planning**

- •(July 25) Budget instructions released
- •(Sept. 15) Agency Accountability Reports due
- •(Sept. 30) Agency Budget Plans due

#### **Central Review and Coordination**


- •(Oct. 10-Nov. 2) Agency Budget Meetings
- •(Nov. 10) BEA certifies preliminary FY 2017-18 revenue estimate

#### **Final Development and Publication**

- •(Early January) Release of FY 2017-18 Executive Budget
- •(Jan. 10) First day of 2017 legislative session

#### **COMPLETING THE FORMS**

The header of each form contains fields into which agencies should enter their names, codes, and section numbers. Once entered on the first page of a form, this information will be replicated throughout the document. It must be entered once for each form submitted.



Agencies should submit a single file for each relevant form type, regardless of the number of iterations contained within. For instance, an agency that wishes to modify 15 provisos would submit a single copy of Form D that contains 30 or more pages. The templates for Forms B, C, and D contain three iterations of each form.

The sizes of the fields on each form are not intended to limit or constrain an agency's response. Please provide as much depth and context as executive and legislative decision-makers will require in order to make informed decisions about next year's spending priorities. Also, the forms have been designed so that fields will automatically expand to accommodate lengthier submissions. Agencies should not be concerned with how this may affect pagination of the submitted forms.

#### **GENERAL GUIDANCE AND KEY ASSUMPTIONS**

Agencies should adhere to the following guidelines and assumptions when developing their budget plans:

#### **DOLLAR AMOUNTS**

Dollar amounts should be reported in whole dollar increments. Do not use cents.

#### **EMPLOYEE SALARIES**

Assume there will be no general, statewide compensation changes in FY 2017-18.

#### **EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**

Calculate fringe benefits using the Executive Budget Office's "Employer Contributions Rate Table."

**PROGRAM CITATIONS** 

When identifying programs, please do so at the greatest possible level of detail. For instance, consider the following program at DHEC:

#### II. . Programs and Services

- A. Water Quality Management
  - 2. Water Management

This program should not be cited merely as "II. Programs and Services, A. Water Quality Management," because there is an additional level of hierarchy for "2. Water Management."

#### **REVENUE CODING**

Revenue sources for expenditure requests tied to Other/Federal fund sources should be cited at a highest and most general level unless a single, definite revenue fund source can be identified for the expenditure request:

- Other (Earmarked): 30000000
- Restricted (Earmarked): 40000000
- Federal: 50000000

Agencies may submit decision packages in PBF to "push down" identified fund sources for existing expenditures to a more granular level without submitting an accompanying Form B.

#### **QUESTIONS**

General questions about the FY 2017-18 budget process or the PBF system should be directed to your EBO budget analyst.

#### **DECISION PACKAGES**

#### WHAT IS A DECISION PACKAGE?

Beginning in FY 2014-15, agencies' requests were organized around the "decision package" concept. A decision package is an agency's request, presented in a singular coherent proposal that can be evaluated independently, to revise its budget for a specific reason, through any combination of changes to appropriations lines and funding sources. More succinctly, a decision package might also be conceptualized as the set of proposed appropriations changes (dollars and FTEs) that are necessary to implement a single high-level policy choice or initiative.

#### A decision package may:

- Result in a net increase or decrease in appropriations; it may also have no net impact on total appropriations levels, if the decision package includes multiple changes that sum to the prior total.
- Change the components of funding for a particular program(s) without changing the total amount of funding for instance, a decision package may propose to replace an anticipated loss of \$400,000 in federal funds with an equivalent amount from the General Fund.
- Eliminate an existing program, establish a new program, combine current initiatives, or materially change the cost, scope, and/or method of funding an ongoing program or related programs.
- "Push down" the funding source for a program's expenditures from the general (for instance, 30000000) to a more specific level (35050000).

#### A decision package may not:

- Be so broadly constructed that it indistinguishably bleeds together the potential budgetary impact of two or more high-level policy decisions.
- Be so narrowly defined that it cannot stand independently, meaning that two or more decision packages must be jointly approved in order to achieve a single policy outcome.
- Combine recurring and non-recurring requests into a single decision package.

#### **VALID AND COMPLETE AGENCY BUDGET PLANS**

An agency may present one or several decision packages in FY 2017-18, or none at all. An agency's set of decision packages must be an exhaustive and mutually exclusive list of its requested funding changes for the upcoming year. These decision packages must be constructed so that no request is duplicated in multiple packages. Similarly, no request to change funding levels may be made outside of a decision package.

Also, a decision package must include all logically connected appropriations changes. For instance, if a law enforcement agency proposed to hire 15 new officers, then that Form B decision package would include not just the new FTEs and the personnel funds to pay the officers' salaries, but also any associated funding for operations and employer healthcare contributions, if necessary. The agency would also likely file a Form C request for one-time funds for a vehicle or other non-recurring equipment needs.

Agencies seeking to annualize non-recurring appropriations or request general funds to offset decreases in earned revenue or other funds would submit such an annualization as a separate decision package from any programmatic increases. Similar to the example above, if a law enforcement agency requests funding to sustain 25 officers – 10 existing officers currently sustained with declining fee revenues and 15 new – the agency would submit two separate decision packages: one for the annualization, and one for the expansion.

Agencies should seek approval through the Executive Budget Office by August 29, 2016 for any proposed restructurings for FY 2017-18. In the interest of transparency, any approved restructuring request will subsequently need to be submitted by the agency as a discrete decision package.

Agencies have historically had broad discretion to independently "realign" their appropriations lines prior to the commencement of the subsequent year's budget cycle, often without a stated rationale or a publicly available paper trail. To ensure that citizens and state officials can accurately compare one year's budget to the next, these realignments now require a Form B.

For similar reasons, each agency must file a Form B to distribute statewide employee benefit allocations (F300), to higher employer contributions toward employee healthcare costs, as well as any expenditure authorization necessary to reflect increased contributions to state deferred compensation systems. The decision package that makes these allocations should contain no other proposed changes.

#### FORM A - SUMMARY

This form asks agencies to provide an overview of their FY 2017-18 budget requests. Form A facilitates the central review process and serves as a check that all supporting materials have been included in the agency's final submission.

RECURRING FUNDS


(FORM B

DECISION PACKAGES)

When an agency creates a decision package in PBF, the system automatically generates three identification numbers for that same package. Please identify decision packages using the number assigned to the "Governor's Request" version only.

CAPITAL &
NON-RECURRING
FUNDS
(FORM C
DECISION PACKAGES)

When an agency creates a decision package in PBF, the system automatically generates three identification numbers for that same package. Please identify decision packages using the number assigned to the "Governor's Request" version only.



**Provisos** 

Form A asks agencies to indicate whether they are requesting substantive or technical proviso changes, if any. A "Proviso Revision Request" (Form D) should be completed for either a substantive or technical change.

**AGENCY DIRECTOR** 

This form must be signed by the department head and board or commission chair, if applicable – not a delegate.

#### FORM B - PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

A separate iteration of Form B should be completed for each individual decision package. Additional guidance on this concept appears in the "Decision Package" section of these instructions. Form B should be used to propose changes to an agency's base budget or to submit a request for recurring funding. An agency may submit a decision package solely to identify a more specific source of funds for planned expenditures (for instance, 35050000 vs. 30000000). This type of technical decision package may be submitted exclusively within PBF without filing a Form B.

#### **DECISION PACKAGE**

When an agency creates a decision package in PBF, the system automatically generates three identification numbers for that same package. Please identify decision packages using the number assigned to the "Governor's Request" version only.

### METHOD OF CALCULATION

How was the cost of the request calculated? List the per unit or per FTE costs of implementation. What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

## FUNDING ALTERNATIVES

Were other sources of funds were considered for this project? If so, what were they and why were those alternatives rejected? Could fund balances or other resources be used in whole or in part in order to meet the identified need in FY 2017-18? If so, please comment on the long-term viability of such an approach.

#### FORM C - CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING REQUEST

Form C asks agencies to provide information on projects for which they are requesting one-time appropriations in FY 2017-18. This generally includes projects that have been traditionally funded through the Capital Reserve Fund or the proviso that distributes most non-recurring revenue. Complete a single iteration of Form C (and one PBF decision package) for each individual capital/non-recurring project requested.

#### **DECISION PACKAGE**

When an agency creates a decision package in PBF, the system automatically generates three identification numbers for that same package. Please identify decision packages using the number assigned to the "Governor's Request" version only.

#### **A**MOUNT

Indicate the amount that is being requested for this project. If this year's request is part of a multi-year project, please place the FY 2017-18 amount on this line and discuss the balance of the request in the "Long-Term Planning" section below.

#### **BUDGET PROGRAM**

Identify the related budget program by name and section. Note: When entering a capital/non-recurring request into the PBF system, load the request under your agency's non-recurring program instead of the related budget program identified here.

Ex. "II.F.1. – Marine Conservation and Management"

#### **SUMMARY**

Provide sufficient information and context on the request that will allow for the project to be evaluated in its own right and also against all other requests that agencies will submit this year. If the request is related to information security or information technology, explain its relationship to the agency's security or technology plan.

#### RELATED REQUEST(S)

If the capital/non-recurring request is associated with any other recurring or onetime decision packages, please identify them by name and the "Governor's Request" version number.

Ex. "Advanced Plant Technology Lab Expansion – 313"

### FUNDING ALTERNATIVES

Were other sources of funds were considered for this project? If so, what were they and why were those alternatives rejected?

LONG-TERM
PLANNING AND
SUSTAINABILITY

Use this field to explain how the FY 2017-18 request for capital/non-recurring funds for this project fits into the overall strategy for financing the underlying project. Is this part of a multi-year request? What other funds will be used?

Also, explain how this project will affect future budget requests (operating and capital). For instance, if this request is for laboratory equipment, will the agency request personnel and operating expenses in future years for the associated staff and activity? Will funds be needed to license or maintain the equipment in future years? Have sources of those resources been identified?

### FORM D - PROVISO REVISION REQUEST

Agencies should submit this form for each proviso they wish to add, amend, codify, or delete. This includes provisos for which only a technical amendment is requested, such as a date change. Please review each proviso contained in the agency budget to determine if it reasonably and inherently relates to the raising and spending of tax monies, and if it does not, please recommend it be codified or deleted from the agency budget.

NUMBER

Please ensure that each proviso is cited using the renumbered base, as posted on the Executive Budget Office's website.

Ex. "SDE: Carry Forward Authorization" was Proviso 1.46 in FY 2016-17. It should now be cited as Proviso 1.45.

**BUDGET PROGRAM** 

Identify the related budget program by name and section.

Ex. "II.A.1. - Community Mental Health Centers"

**DECISION PACKAGE** 

If the request to modify the proviso is associated with a decision package, please identify it by name and the "Governor" version number.

Ex. "Redesign Licensing System – 70"

OTHER AGENCIES

AFFECTED

If other agencies would be affected by the recommended action, please identify them and discuss the potential impact.